



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/800,952	03/07/2001	Yigang Cai	Cai 19-13	6742
7590 09/01/2004			EXAMINER	
Fay Sharpe Fagan Minnich & McKee LLP			AL AUBAIDI, RASHA S	
1100 Superior Avenue Seventh Floor Cleveland, OH 44114-2518			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642	
			DATE MAILED: 09/01/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	09/800,952	CAI ET AL.
Advisory Audion	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rasha S AL-Aubaidi	2642
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
THE REPLY FILED 26 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to averally final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION oid abandonment of this applicate a timely filed amendment which	N FOR ALLOWANCE. Ition. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Cl	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THOUSE OF THE CONTRACT OF THE CONTR	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the pe	riod set forth in f the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	ee NOTE below):
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	·	,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	•	rially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without canceling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 		parate, timely filed amendment
 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ requesting the application in condition for allowance be 6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ecause: <u>see attachment</u> .	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wor	s) a) \boxtimes will not be entered or b) $ $ uld be rejected is provided belov	will be entered and an wor appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:	•	
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,7-12 and 22-26</u> .		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appro	oved or b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement		
0. Other:	, , , , _	
		Examiner Rasha S. Al-Aubaidi 703-605-5145

Applicant arguments have been fully considered and have been found not persuasive. Applicant argues that Bauer "fails to teach or suggest storing a subscriber's caller screening criteria in a database". As the examiner explained in the physious office action, Bauer teaches storing at least one of the subscriber's screening criteria in a database. This screening criteria may read on the caller identification for example (see col. 3, lines 5-25). Therefore, prescreening or providing screening capability to the user is one of the teachings and features that Bauer provides.

Applicant's also argues that "Bauer does not teach or disclose connecting the subscriber's telephone line to an Internet service provider". Bauer gives an example that a party on the phone may disable the call waiting service if the party is using the phone to be connected to the Internet service provider (see col. 1, lines 35-37).

WILLIAM J. DEANE, JR. PRIMARY EXAMINER